# EULER'S PARTITION IDENTITY - FINITE VERSION

#### GEORGE E. ANDREWS

ABSTRACT. Euler proved that the number of partition of n into odd parts equals the number of partitions of n into distinct parts. There have been several refinements of Euler's Theorem which have limited the size of the parts allowed. Each is surprising and difficult to prove. This paper provides a finite version of Glaisher's exquisitely elementary proof of Euler's Theorem.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Euler is truly the father of the theory of the partitions of integers. He discovered the following prototype of all subsequent partition identities.

**Euler's Theorem** [3]. The number of partitions of n into distinct parts equals the number of partitions of n into odd parts.

For example, if n = 10, then the ten odd partitions of n into distinct parts are

10, 
$$9+1$$
,  $8+2$ ,  $7+3$ ,  $7+2+1$ ,  
 $6+4$ ,  $6+3+1$ ,  $5+4+1$ ,  $5+3+2$ ,  $4+3+2+1$ ,

and the ten partitions of n into odd parts are

Euler's proof was an elegant use of generating functions. If  $\mathcal{D}(n)$  denotes the number of partitions of n into distinct parts and  $\mathcal{O}(n)$  denotes the number of partitions of n into odd parts, then it is immediate (just by multiplying out the products and collecting terms) that

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{D}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^n) \tag{1.1}$$

and

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{O}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^{2n-1}+q^{2(2n-1)}+\cdots)$$

$$= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^{2n-1}}.$$
(1.2)

<sup>2010</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification. 11P81, 65A19.

Key words and phrases. Euler's partition identity, Glaisher's bijection, partitions.

Euler's proof is then an algebraic exercise:

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{D}(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1+q^n)$$
(1.3)  
$$= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1-q^{2n}}{1-q^n}$$
  
$$= \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{1-q^{2n-1}}$$
  
$$= \sum_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{O}(n)q^n.$$

It was J. W. L. Glaisher [5] who in 1883 found a purely bijective proof of Euler's Theorem. Glaisher's mapping goes as follows: start with a partition of n into odd parts (here  $f_i$  is the number of times  $(2m_i - 1)$  appears as a part):

$$f_1(2m_1-1) + f_2(2m_2-1) + \dots + f_r(2m_r-1).$$
 (1.4)

Now write each  $f_i$  in its unique binary representation as a sum of distinct powers of 2, i.e., now we have (with  $a_1(i) < a_2(i) < \cdots$ )

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} f_i(2m_i - 1) = \sum_{i=1}^{r} (2^{a_1(i)} + 2^{a_2(i)} + \dots + 2^{a_j(i)})(2m_i - 1)$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{r} (2^{a_1(i)}(2m_i - 1) + \dots + 2^{a_j(i)}(2m_i - 1)))$$
(1.5)

and this last expression is the image partition into distinct parts. To make Glaisher's maps concrete, let us return to the case n = 10.

It is clear that this map is reversible; just collect together in groups those parts with common largest odd factor.

Now there have been a number of refinements of Euler's Theorem which have, in one way or another, placed restrictions on the size of the parts used. Bousquet-Mélou and Eriksson [1] have a version in which their "lecture hall partitions" occur. Nathan Fine [4] has a version involving the Dyson rank. I. Pak [6] devotes Section 3 of his exhaustive study of partition identities to a variety of refinements of Euler's Theorem.

The point of this short note is to provide a simple Glaisher style proof of the following finite version of Euler's Theorem due to Bradford, Harris, Jones, Komarinski, Matson, and O'Shea that was first stated in [2].

**Theorem [2; Sec. 3].** The number of partitions of n into odd parts each  $\leq 2N$  equals the number of partitions of n into parts each  $\leq 2N$  in which the parts  $\leq N$  are distinct.

It should be noted that the bijective proofs in [2] as well as those by Bousquet-Melou and Erickson in [1] and Yee in [7] prove much more than the above theorem and are thus much more complicated than our Glaisher-like bijection.

### 2. FIRST PROOF OF THE THEOREM

This result has an Eulerian proof that has exactly the simplicity of Euler's original proof.

Let  $\mathcal{O}_N(n)$  denotes the number of partitions of n in which each part is odd and  $\leq 2N$ , and  $\mathcal{D}_N(n)$  denotes the number of partitions of n in which each part is  $\leq 2N$  and all parts  $\leq N$  are distinct. Thus

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{O}_N(n) q^n = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1 - q^{2n-1}}$$

and

$$\sum_{n \ge 0} \mathcal{D}_N(n) q^n = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1+q^n}{1-q^{N+n}}.$$

Finally

$$\sum_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{D}_N(n)q^n = \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1-q^{2n}}{(1-q^n)(1-q^{N+n})}$$
$$= \frac{\prod_{n=1}^N (1-q^{2n})}{\prod_{n=1}^{2N} (1-q^n)}$$
$$= \prod_{n=1}^N \frac{1}{1-q^{2n-1}}$$
$$= \sum_{n\geq 0} \mathcal{O}_N(n)q^n.$$

# 3. A Glaisher-type proof

Now we return to Glaisher's proof, with the following alteration. Namely, for each odd part  $(2m_i - 1)$  (all being  $\leq 2N$ ) there is a unique  $j_i \geq 0$  such that

$$N < (2m_i - 1)2^{j_i} \le 2N.$$

Now instead of rewriting each  $f_i$  completely in binary, we instead write  $f_i$  (with  $a_1(i) < a_2(i) < \cdots < a_m(i) < f_i$ ) as

$$2^{a_1(i)} + 2^{a_2(i)} + \dots + 2^{a_m(i)} + q_i 2^{j_i},$$

where, of course,  $g_i$  might be 0. Thus, instead of (1.5) we now have

$$\sum_{i=1}^{r} = f_i(2m_i - 1)$$
  
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} (2^{a_1(i)} + 2^{a_2(i)} + \dots + 2^{a_m(i)} + g_i 2^{j_i})(2m_i - 1)$   
=  $\sum_{i=1}^{r} (2^{a_1(i)}(2m_i - 1) + 2^{a_2(i)}(2m_i - 1) + \dots) + \sum_{i=1}^{r} g_i 2^{j_i}(2m_i - 1)$ 

and the latter expression is a partition wherein the parts  $\leq N$  are distinct and each is  $\leq 2N$ .

As an example, let us consider a partition of 78 into odd parts each  $\leq 2N = 2 \cdot 6$ :

Now 11 and 7 lie in (6, 12],  $2 \cdot 5 \in (6, 12]$ , and  $4 \cdot 3 \in (6, 12]$ . Hence this partition is  $2 \cdot 11 + 2 \cdot 7 + 3 \cdot 5 + 9 \cdot 3$ 

$$= 2 \cdot 11 + 2 \cdot 7 + (1+2) \cdot 5 + (1+2 \cdot 4) \cdot 3$$
  
= 11 + 11 + 7 + 7 + 5 + 10 + 3 + 2 \cdot (4 \cdot 3)  
= 11 + 11 + 7 + 7 + 5 + 10 + 3 + 12 + 12

and this last expression has all parts  $\leq 12$  and no repeated parts  $\leq 6$ .

My thanks to Drew Sills and Carla Savage for alerting me to references [2] and [7].

#### References

- M. Bousquet-Mélou and K. Eriksson, *Lecture hall partitions I and II*, Ramanujan J., 1 (1997), 101-111, and 1 (1997), 165-185.
- [2] L. Bradford, M. Harris, B. Jones, A. Komarinski, C. Matson, E. O'Shea, The refined lecture hall theorem via abacus diagrams, Ramanujan J., 34 (2014), 163-176.
- [3] L. Euler. Introductio in analysin infinitorum, Lausanne, 1, 1748, Ch. 16, pp. 253-275.
- [4] N. J. Fine. Basic hypergeometric series and applications, Math. Surveys and Monographs. Vol. 27 (1988), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.
- [5] J. W. L. Glaisher, A theorem in partitions, Messenger of Math. 12 (1883), 158-170.
- [6] I. Pak. Partition bijections, a survey, Ramanujan J., 12 (2006), 5-75.
- [7] A.J. Yee, On the refined lecture hall theorem, Discrete Math., 248 (2002), 293-298.

THE PENNSYLVANIA STATE UNIVERSITY. UNIVERSITY PARK, PA 16802. *E-mail address:* gea1@psu.edu